CSE 3400/5850 - Introduction to Computer & Network Security / Introduction to Cybersecurity

Lecture 8 Shared Key Protocols – Part I

Ghada Almashaqbeh UConn

Adapted from the Textbook Slides

Outline

- Cryptography protocols.
- □ Session or record protocols.
- □ Entity authentication protocols.

Modeling Cryptographic Protocols

- A protocol is a set of PPT (efficient) functions or algorithms
 - Each receiving (state, input), outputting (state, output)
 - Two (or more) parties, each has its own state
- □ Including *Init, In,* [and if needed *Wakeup*] functions
 - And task-specific functions, e.g., Send
- The execution process is a series of function invocations based on which the protocol proceeds.
- Our discussion (from here) is focused on shared-key, two-party protocols, MitM adversary.

Record Protocols

Secure communication between two parties using shared keys.

Two-party, shared-key Record protocol

□ Parties/peers: *Alice* (sender), *Bob* (receiver)

- □ Simplest yet applied protocol
- Simplify: only-authentication for what Alice sends to Bob
 - □ Goal: Bob outputs *m* only if Alice had Send(*m*)
- Let's design the protocol! define the protocol functions
 - \Box Init(k) [Initialize Alice/Bob with secret key k]
 - Send(m): Alice sends message m and a tag over m (to Bob)
 - □ In(m) : Bob receives (*m*, tag) and accepts *m* is the tag is valid.

Two-party, shared-key Record protocol

- Design has many simplifications, easily avoided:
 - Only message authentication
 - □ No confidentiality!
 - Only ensure same message was sent
 - Does not address duplication, out-of-order, `stale' messages, losses
- To add confidentiality: use encryption
 - □ Namely, employ EtA (encrypt then authenticate).

Two-party record protocol with Confidentiality

- □ *Init*(*k*) [Initialize Alice/Bob with secret key *k*] □ { $s \leftarrow (k_E = F_k(\ E^{\circ}), k_A = F_k(\ A^{\circ}))$
- $\Box Send(m): Alice sends message m (to Bob)$ $\Box \{Output x = (E_{k_E}(m), MAC_{k_A}(E_{k_E}(m))); \}$
- In((c,tag)) : Bob receives (c,tag) from adversary

 $\Box \{Output D_k(c) \text{ if } (tag = MAC_{k_A}(c)); \}$

So, security guarantees ...

What does a secure shared-key two-party record protocol mean?

How about the security of the one with confidentially?

Shared-key Entity Authentication Protocols

Ensure the identity of an entity (or a peer) involved in communication.

Mutual Authentication Protocols

Our focus.

- In mutual authentication, each party authenticates herself to the other.
 - Alice knows that she is communicating with Bob, and vice versa
- This requires, at least, one exchange of messages.
 - A message from Alice and a response from Bob (or vice versa).
- □ Such a flow is called a *handshake*.

Handshake Entity-Authentication protocol

- □ A protocol to open **sessions** between parties
 - Each party assigns its own unique ID to each session, and maps peer's-IDs to its own IDs
 - □ Alice maps Bob's i_B to its identifier $ID_A(i_B)$

 \Box Bob maps Alice's i_A to its identifier $ID_B(i_A)$

- 'Matching' goal: $i_A = ID_A(ID_B(i_A))$, $i_B = ID_B(ID_A(i_B))$
- Allow concurrent sessions and both to open
 - Simplify: no timeout / failures / close, ignore session protocol, ...

Handshake Entity-Authentication protocol

Protocol functions

- $\Box Init(k): Initialize Alice/Bob with secret key k$
- □ *Open:* Alice/Bob open a session
- \Box *Out*(*x*) : party sends *x* to peer
- □ In(x) : party receives x from channel (via MitM)
- Protocol outputs
 - \Box *Open*(*i*): party opened session *i*
 - □ (and received messages).

Example : IBM's SNA HandshakeFirst dominant networking technologyHandshake uses encryption with shared key k



Insecure !! Why ?

SNA (Systems Network Architecture): IBM's proprietary network architecture, dominated market @ [1975-1990s], mainly in banking, government.

Attack on SNA's Handshake

DMitM opens two sessions with Bob, sending N_B to Bob in 2nd connection to get $E_k(N_B)$

□ SNA is secure for sequential mutual authentication handshakes but not concurrent ones.



Fixing Mutual Authentication

- Encryption does not ensure authenticity
 Use MAC to authenticate messages!
- Prevent redirection
 - Identify party in challenge
 - Better: use separate keys for each direction
- Prevent replay and reorder
 - Identify flow and connection
 - Prevent use of old challenge: randomness, time or state
- Do not provide the adversary with an oracle access!
 - Do not compute values from Adversary
 - Include self-chosen nonce in the protected reply

Secure Two-Party Handshake Protocol (2PP)



- Use MAC rather than encryption to authenticate
- Prevent redirection: include identities (A,B)
- Prevent replay and reorder:
 - Nonces (N_A, N_B)
 - Separate 2nd and 3rd flows: 3 vs. 2 input blocks
- Provably secure [formal proof is out of scope]

Covered Material From the Textbook

□ Chapter 5

□ Sections 5.1 and 5.2

Thank You!

